

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/523,990	03/13/2000	Mou-Shiung Lin	MEG99-005	6138	
75	90 10/31/2002				
George O Saile			EXAMINER		
Stephen B Acke 20 McIntosh Di			WALSH, DANIEL I		
Poughkeepsie, l	NY 12603		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2876			
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2002	DATE MAILED: 10/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati p N	licant(s)	/-
	Applicati n N .		
Advisory Action	09/523,990	LIN ET AL. Art Unit	
	Examin r Daniel I Walsh	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appe			<u> </u>
• •			33
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this a a timely filed amendment	oplication. A proper reply to which places the application	on in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date se ater than SIX MONTHS from the FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS	mailing date of the final rejection OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S	ee MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding the shortened statutory period for the later than three months after the	g amount of the fee. The approp reply originally set in the final Of	riate extension fice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or sea	rch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simp	olifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceliNOTE: .	ng a corresponding numbe	r of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in	n a separate, timely filed ar	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		considered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLI	ELY to issues which were i	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,12-23 and 25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) di	sapproved by the Examine	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No	(s)	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Note Below</u>	;	MICHAEL G. LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAI TECHNOLOGY CENTER 28	MINER 300

J.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation She t (PTO-303)





Note: Continuation of 7: The Amendment of 21 October 2002 introduces new issues that would require further search and consideration. The issues that would require further search and consideration are the limitations that the non-black material is an encapsulating material/protective encapsulating material (claim 1/claim 3) and that the material is epoxy (claim 6). Such newly added limitations would necessiate a new search and further consideration.

Claims 1-10, 12-23, and 25 remain rejected as set forth in the Flnal Office Action (see paper no. 7).